SAMPLE BALLOT GENERAL ELECTION NOVEMBER 4, 2014 MORGAN COUNTY, MISSOURI

DEMOCRATIC - (DEM); REPUBLICAN - (REP); LIBERTARIAN (LIB); CONSTITUTION (CST); INDEPENDENT - (IND)

NOTICE OF ELECTION

Notice is hereby given that the November General Election will be held in the County of Morgan on Tuesday, November 4, 2014 as certified to this office by the participating entities of Morgan. The ballot for the Election shall be in substantially the following form.

FOR STATE AUDITOR Vote for ONE	FOR PRESIDING COMMISSIONER Vote for ONE	OFFICIAL JUDICIAL BALLOT Submitting to the voters whether the Judges named below, whose terms expire	
TOM SCHWEICH	JAMES VAUGHAN DEM	December 31, 2014, shall be retained in office for new terms.	
SEAN O'TOOLE	JAMES BRYANT	VOTE ON EACH JUDGE	
		MISSOURI SUPREME COURT JUDGES	
	WRITE-IN		
WRITE-IN	FOR COUNTY CLERK	Shall Judge LAURA DENVIR STITH of the Missouri Supreme Court be retained in office?	
FOR U.S. REPRESENTATIVE DISTRICT 4	CATHY DANIELS		
Vote for ONE	JULIA CRABTREE	YES	
		□ NO	
	WRITE-IN	Shall Judge PAUL CAMPBELL	
HERSCHEL L. YOUNG	FOR CLERK OF THE CIRCUIT	WILSON of the Missouri Supreme Court be retained in office?	
WRITE-IN	COURT	YES	
	Vote for ONE BILLY ARMENT DEM	NO	
FOR STATE SENATOR			
DISTRICT 6		MISSOURI COURT OF APPEALS	
Vote for ONE MOLLIE KRISTEN FREEBAIRN	WRITE-IN	JUDGES, WESTERN DISTRICT	
	FOR RECORDER OF DEEDS Vote for ONE NANCY BOLES REP	Shall Judge LISA WHITE HARDWICK of the Western District Court of Appeals be retained in office?	
		☐ YES	
WRITE-IN	WRITE-IN		
FOR STATE REPRESENTATIVE DISTRICT 58	FOR COUNTY TREASURER	Shall Judge ANTHONY REX GABBERT of the Western District Court of Appeals be retained in office?	
Vote for ONE	MEGAN VANSELL DEM		
DAVID WOOD		YES	
	KIMBERLY GUNN REP INGERSOLL	NO	
WRITE-IN			
Vote for ONE KEVIN SCHEHR REP	WRITE-IN		
	FOR PROSECUTING ATTORNEY Vote for ONE		
WRITE-IN	DUSTIN G. DUNKLEE		
	WRITE-IN		
	FOR COLLECTOR OF REVENUE		
	KATHY FRANCIS		
	WRITE-IN		

CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT NO. 2	CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT NO. 6
Proposed by the 97th General Assembly (First Regular Session) SCS HJR 16	Proposed by the 97th General Assembly (Second Regular Session) SS SCS HCS HJR No. 90
Shall the Missouri Constitution be amended so that it will be permissible to allow relevant evidence of prior criminal acts to be admissible in prosecutions for crimes of a sexual nature involving a victim under eighteen years of age? If more resources are needed to defend increased prosecutions additional costs to governmental entities could be at least \$1.4 million annually, otherwise the fiscal impact is expected to be limited.	Shall the Missouri Constitution be amended to permit voting in person or by mail for a period of six business days prior to and including the Wednesday before the election day in general elections, but only if the legislature and the governor appropriate and disburse funds to pay for the increased costs of such voting? State governmental entities estimated startup costs of about \$2 million and costs to reimburse local election authorities of at least \$100,000 per election. Local election authorities
	estimated higher reimbursable costs per election. Those costs will depend on
CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT NO. 3	the compensation, staffing, and, planning decisions of election authorities with the total costs being
Proposed by Initiative Petition	unknown.
Shall the Missouri Constitution be amended to:	YES
• require teachers to be evaluated by a standards based performance evaluation system for which each local	CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT N0. 10
school district must receive state approval to continue receiving state and local funding;	Proposed by the 97th General Assembly (Second Regular Session) HJR No. 72
 require teachers to be dismissed, retained, demoted, promoted and paid primarily using quantifiable student performance data as part of the evaluation system; require teachers to enter into 	Shall the Missouri Constitution be amended to require the governor to pay the public debt, to prohibit the governor from relying on revenue from legislation not yet passed when proposing a budget, and to provide a legislative check on the governor's decisions to

restrict funding for education and other

State governmental entities expect no

direct costs or savings. Local

unknown fiscal impact.

governmental entities expect an

state services?

YES

NO

contracts of three years or fewer with public school districts; and

• prohibit teachers from organizing or collectively bargaining regarding the design and implementation of the teacher evaluation system?

Decisions by school districts regarding provisions allowed or required by this proposal and their implementation will influence the potential costs or savings impacting each district. Significant potential costs may be incurred by the state and/or the districts if new/additional evaluation instruments must be developed to satisfy the proposal's performance evaluation requirements.

YES		